United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,304	11/02/2001	Anuj Batra	TI-33612	9327
23494 7590 09/19/2007 TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999			EXAMINER	
			LY, ANH VU H	
DALLAS, TX	75265		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			<u> </u>	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/19/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@ti.com uspto@dlemail.itg.ti.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/004,304	BATRA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Anh-Vu H. Ly	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
	VIC CET TO EVOIDE A	MONTHO OF THEFTY (20) PAYO				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mode, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 A	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 August 2007.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
·	S) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•.					
4) Claim(s) <u>20,21,23,26,27 and 29</u> is/are pendin	g in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20,21,23,26,27 and 29</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26 and 27</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>August 23, 2007</u> .						

Application/Control Number: 10/004,304 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 23, 2007 has been entered.

Claim Objections

Claims 26 and 27 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 With respect to claim 26, in lines 7-8, replace "on in a response" with --in response--.
 With respect to claim 27, in line 3, replace "the first channel" with --the selected

channel--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 20, 21, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garcia-Luna-Aceves et al. (US Pub 2002/0141479 A1) in view of Shellhammer et al (US Patent No. 7,039,358 B1). Hereinafter, referred to as Garcia-Luna-Aceves and Shellhammer.

Page 3

With respect to claim 20, Garcia-Luna-Aceves discloses a method of communication in a frequency hopping wireless network using a time-division duplex (TDD) scheme having a plurality of time slots (Fig. 1) divide into a plurality of master-to-slave slots (Fig. 1, at frequency hop h1, t1 and t9 are used by node x) and a plurality of slave-to-master slots (Fig. 1, at frequency hop h1, t2-t8 are used by node y) comprising:

coupling an enhanced master device with a slave device (Fig. 1, node x coupled to node y);

initiating communication from the master device to the slave device on a channel selected from an original hopping sequence (Fig. 1, at frequency hop h1 and t1, node x initiates a communication between node x and node y by sending a RTR control packet to node y. Herein, node x is the master device and node y is the slave device, as considered by examiner. Further, frequencies h1-h5 are frequency hops of a hopping sequence);

selecting a channel from a repeated channel adaptive hopping sequence if the slave device is an enhanced slave device and responding to the master device from the slave device on a channel selected from a repeated channel adaptive hopping sequence if the slave device is an enhanced slave device (page 5, 69th paragraph, it may be desirable to allow nodes exchanging data to continue hopping, such as in a multi-frequency mode, according to a different or second hopping sequence. Herein, node y can use a channel from a second hopping sequence to send data to node x to further reduce interference. Wherein, node y is an enhanced slave device for using a channel from a second hopping sequence and wherein the second hopping sequence is the repeated channel adaptive hopping sequence);

Application/Control Number: 10/004,304

Art Unit: 2616

responding to the master device from the slave device on a channel selected from the original hopping sequence if the slave device is a legacy slave device (Fig. 1, at frequency hop h1 and t2, node y sends data to node x after receiving the RTR control packet. Herein, node y uses frequency h1 of the same hopping sequence as used by node x to send RTR control packet. Therefore, node y is a legacy slave device).

Garcia-Luna-Aceves does not disclose that wherein the plurality of master-to-slave slots are even slots and the plurality of slave-to-master slots are odd slots. Shellhammer discloses that the master transmitting on even slots and the slaves transmitting on odd slots (col. 7, lines 49-51). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the master transmitting on even slots and the slaves transmitting on odd slots in Garcia-Luna-Aceves's system, as suggested by Shellhammer, to coordinate data transmission.

With respect to claim 21, Garcia-Luna-Aceves discloses that wherein the slave responding to the master device comprising transmitting a packet to the master device on the selected channel (Fig. 1, node y sends data to node x on frequency hop h1), wherein the selected channel is used for transmission during entire length of the packet (page 4, 60th paragraph, at a data rate of 1 Mbps, four hundred millisecond hop time limit provides ample time for transmitting entire data packets and packet trains).

With respect to claim 23, Garcia-Luna-Aceves discloses that wherein the wireless network is a Bluetooth wireless network (page 2, 13th paragraph and Fig. 2 – a MAC protocol taking advantage of characteristics of FHSS radios operating in ISM bands while assuring that

Application/Control Number: 10/004,304

Art Unit: 2616

transmissions are free of collisions. It is known that Bluetooth frequency band is also an ISM band, 2.4 GHz band).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 26, 27, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Garcia-Luna-Aceves et al. (US Pub 2002/0141479 A1). Hereinafter, referred to as Garcia-Luna-Aceves.

With respect to claim 26, Garcia-Luna-Aceves discloses a system for communication in a frequency hopping wireless network comprising:

a master device (Fig. 1, at frequency hop h1, node x is the master device); and at least one slave device communicatively coupled to the master device (Fig. 1, at frequency hop h1, node y is the slave device coupled to node x), wherein the master device is configured to initiate communication with the slave device on a channel selected from an original hopping sequence (Fig. 1, at frequency hop h1 and t1, node x initiates a communication between node x and node y by sending a RTR control packet to node y. Herein, node x is the

Application/Control Number: 10/004,304

Art Unit: 2616

master device and node y is the slave device, as considered by examiner. Further, frequencies h1-h5 are frequency hops of a hopping sequence); and

the slave device is configured to transmit data to the master device in response to the master device initiating the communication on a channel selected from a repeated channel adaptive hopping sequence if the slave device is an enhanced slave device (page 5, 69th paragraph, it may be desirable to allow nodes exchanging data to continue hopping, such as in a multi-frequency mode, according to a different or second hopping sequence. Herein, node y can use a channel from a second hopping sequence to send data to node x to further reduce interference. Wherein, node y is an enhanced slave device for using a channel from a second hopping sequence. The second hopping sequence is the repeated channel adaptive hopping sequence) or on a channel selected from the original hopping sequence if the slave device is a legacy slave device (Fig. 1, at frequency hop h1 and t2, node y sends data to node x after receiving the RTR control packet. Herein, node y uses frequency h1 of the same hopping sequence as used by node x to send RTR control packet).

With respect to claim 27, Garcia-Luna-Aceves discloses that wherein the slave responding to the master device comprising transmitting a packet to the master device on the selected channel (Fig. 1, node y sends data to node x on frequency hop h1), wherein selected channel is used for transmission during entire length of the packet (page 4, 60th paragraph, at a data rate of 1 Mbps, four hundred millisecond hop time limit provides ample time for transmitting entire data packets and packet trains).

Art Unit: 2616

With respect to claim 29, Garcia-Luna-Aceves discloses that wherein the wireless network is a Bluetooth wireless network (page 2, 13th paragraph and Fig. 2 – a MAC protocol taking advantage of characteristics of FHSS radios operating in ISM bands while assuring that transmissions are free of collisions. It is known that Bluetooth frequency band is also an ISM band, 2.4 GHz band).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed August 23, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues in page 8 that Garcia-Luna-Aceves does not disclose or suggest selecting a channel from a repeated channel adaptive hopping sequence if the slave device is an enhanced slave device or a channel from the original hopping sequence if the slave device is a legacy slave device. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Garcia-Luna-Aceves discloses (page 5, 69th paragraph) that it may be desirable to allow nodes exchanging data to continue hopping, such as in a multi-frequency mode, according to a different or second hopping sequence. Herein, node y can use a channel from a second hopping sequence to send data to node x to further reduce interference. Wherein, node y is an enhanced slave device for using a channel from a second hopping sequence and wherein the second hopping sequence is the repeated channel adaptive hopping sequence. Further, as illustrated in Fig. 1, at frequency hop h1 and t2, node y sends data to node x after receiving the RTR control packet. Herein, node y uses frequency h1 of the same hopping sequence as used by node x to send RTR control packet. Therefore, node y is a legacy slave device.

Art Unit: 2616

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Gan et al (US Patent No. 7,027,418 B2) discloses approach for selecting communications channels based on performance.

Liang (US Patent No. 7,145,934 B2) discloses multi-channel signal transmission and reception for Bluetooth systems.

Souissi et al (US 2002/0075941 A1) discloses multiple access frequency hopping nework with interference anticipation.

Chen et al (US Patent No. 7,068,702 B2) discloses method and apparatus for selective collision avoidance frequency hopping.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anh-Vu H. Ly whose telephone number is 571-272-3175. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

avl